

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

David Burns,

Case No.: 2:19-cv-000722-JAD-NJK

Plaintiff

V.

M.D. Hanf, et al.,

Defendants

Order Granting Extra Time for Response to Motion for Summary Judgment

[ECF Nos. 38, 48, 49, 50]

8 On January 11, 2022, Plaintiff David Burns submitted a long-overdue response¹ to the
9 defendants' pending motion for summary judgment.² He explains in the most recent of two
10 requests to extend time that he is incarcerated, and his legal papers were confiscated and only
11 recently returned to him.³ For that reason, he was unable to prepare a timely response, or a
12 motion to extend the deadline to file it, and he ultimately filed his response as soon as possible.
13 I find that Burns has shown both excusable neglect and good cause, so IT IS HEREBY
14 ORDERED that his requests to extend the deadline to file his response brief [ECF Nos. 48, 50]
15 are GRANTED. Burns's response brief at ECF No. 49 is deemed timely. The deadline for
16 defendants' reply brief is governed by Local Rule 7-2(b).

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
Dated: January 12, 2022

¹ ECF No. 49.

23 |² ECF No. 38.

³ ECF No. 50 at 2.